

Jan. 10, 2011 (#743)

# Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Jan. 10, 2011:

## **Promoting Childhood Vanity to Next Profanity:**

"Intergenerational Sex to Become All-Pervasive,  
See Vogue's Latest 6-Year-Old, Sexually Persuasive,  
For Social Engineers Keep Telling Us So,  
Old Norms Obsolete, They'll All Have to Go,  
Because of Societal Change and Contraception,  
Those Claiming Deviancy'll Be Beyond Comprehension,  
Government Takes Responsibility for One's Own Deeds,  
Dictates the Solution and Supplies the Needs,  
They say "Have Fun" but with No Consternation,  
Ensure "Problem" Outcome Leads to Termination,  
In this Promiscuous Society, Problems? -- Don't Keep,  
Let Friendly Government Teach You Life is Cheap"

© Alan Watt Jan. 10, 2011

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Jan. 10, 2011 (Exempting Music,  
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

---

[cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com)

alternate sites:

[cuttingthroughthematrix.net](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.net) , [.us](http://.us) , [.ca](http://.ca)

[alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca](http://alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European  
languages for print up:

[alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)

---

mirror site:

[cuttingthrough.jenknness.com](http://cuttingthrough.jenknness.com)

## **Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:**

**Canada and America:** PayPal, Cash, personal checks &  
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal  
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green  
internal one.)

**Outside the Americas:** PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram  
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:  
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

**PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -**  
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

**Click the link below for your location (ordering info):**

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

---

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on January 10,  
2011. Newcomers look into my site [cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com). You'll see a

bunch of other sites there; these are the official ones. They all carry the same audios for download and they carry transcripts of a lot of the talks for print up, in English. If you want transcripts in other languages you'll see [alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu) on the front page of the .com site as well, so go in there for foreign transcripts. Remember too, that you are the audience who bring me to you 5 nights a week and it's up to you to keep me going. I don't bring on the advertisers to sell you things. I don't push prosperity and the usual sort of ways that are pushed. It's a big business of course, in the Patriot business, and it has to be because stations have to stay on the air. It costs them a fortune to broadcast. The ads you do hear on this show are paid by advertisers directly to RBN and that pays for my air time. I've got nothing to do with it; it's from one to the other. RBN has to pay for their equipment, staff and their bills, and there are lots of bills. So you have to help me with mine too and you can do so by buying the books and disks that I have for sale. Or a straight donation once in a while is awful surprising and awfully nice. That way I can keep going independently and I can carry on conversations for a whole hour without having to bring on guests who are really there to sell you something. So support me if you can; it's up to you. [Order and donation options listed above.]

On this show I document a lot of the history of this world order, this socialist system that's to come into play, a world run by experts of course - that's supposed to be the proper way of it - and the brightest of the brightest have to rule over all the lessers. That's been printed in many books, especially in eugenics and sociology because all the top sociologists are really eugenicists as well. In fact, it's one and the same thing really, including the ecology movement. If you read the definition of Sir Charles Galton, who eventually married into the Darwin family, he said in the 1800s when asked to define eugenics, IT'S THE COMPLETE WORLD BASICALLY, IT'S EVERYTHING; IT'S THE PROPER BREEDS OF PEOPLE AND PROPER SELECTION OF PEOPLE WHO SHOULD GO ON TO A FUTURE. And he says, IT ALL WRAPS INTO SUSTAINABILITY ON THE PLANET AND CARRYING CAPACITY FOR THE PLANET AS WELL, so it's all rolled into one. Eugenicists have always been into this save-the-world idea but it's to save it for themselves. They are terrified the lesser types would outbreed the better types and that was stated by his descendant, Charles Galton Darwin, in the 1950s, the guy who worked on the Manhattan Project. They love to destroy things, especially lots of lesser peoples. I'll be back with more after this break.

Hi folks. We're back, Cutting Through The Matrix. What we really do here is chronicle events as they happen, tell you what's coming up, because if you read their books they tell you what's coming up in the future. You don't need a crystal ball for it. You don't need someone channeling the future to you. They publish what they want to do and where they want to take the world.

You'll find it in lots of books in academia in the social sciences and so on, as to the type of world that's already shaped to come in. Of course, they are on board *that* way because they are told to be on board *that* way and do all the writings *that* way by the big grants from the big foundations which they receive every year. Because academia is completely on board with what they call the new world order for progress, and they have their own definition of progress. Again, it's all to do with eugenics and how you take societies down, how you bring down the populations after you have taken down the cultures, destroyed their religions and all the rest of it that gave them culture, and even the value of life itself has to go as well until they can go further and further and further, eventually euthanasia and all the rest

of it. You will see this in your lifetime, where healthy people who are getting into retirement age will be encouraged to go and be euthanized. This is going to happen in your lifetime because they are not economically viable then, you see. It's all to do with economics and sustainability, etc, etc, and so much food to go around the world, suddenly, all of a sudden, now that the 5 agri-businesses have bought up the whole farming supply of the world. That's why it's all coming together now. Nothing happens by itself, nothing at all.

This took the collusion of all governments, on board, after they signed the agreements with the United Nations in San Francisco at the end of World War II. They said eventually that ALL THE FOOD SUPPLY WOULD GO VIA THE UNITED NATIONS AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT AND BE DISTRIBUTED TO DIFFERENT REGIONS ACROSS THE WORLD. This is the phase we're coming up into now. That's why all the hype about, OH, THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE ENOUGH FOOD, as your governments have been putting farmers out of business for the last 50 years, mainly with fines and penalties and various other nasty things to get them off the land.

In this eugenical world where there are superior people and helpers, that's the middle type. Then there are what they call the lower types, the ones who never went to universities and so on, the ones that at one time would staff the factories, that are now in China, again thanks to your governments; then they have all to go eventually. Mr Rockefeller said at one of his meetings not so long ago, at 'the lucky gene club', the one that Oprah and Bill Gates attends, THEY WANT RAPID DEPOPULATION. It's not good enough to just go around the world giving women's health, which means abortion and sterilization. They want rapid depopulation; that means, of people who are already *here*, not prevention, but are already here, and they mean what they say.

That's happening too because since the 1950s, after they had the meeting with the King, actually, of England, at the end of World War II, who called a global meeting about overpopulation that would come up, they were terrified of baby boomers from World War II on, so they said anyway. They said it would be unsustainable to have so many elderly people. So they came along with the polio vaccine, thanks to Dr Salk. Dr Salk who was one of the heads of the Eugenics Society by the way, who wanted to also rapidly depopulate, suddenly became the hero and gave us all polio vaccines, which they admitted later were all contaminated with the Simian 40 virus whose only purpose really was to give people cancers. Now cancer is normal.

So they have been at it for a long, long time. They will never come out and tell you this was deliberate. They'll talk around it. There are old videos of Salk and his staff talking about it, but they'll never tell you it was deliberately put in there to bring down the population. That's the beauty of this system. The average Joe on the street will refuse to believe something unless they get a confession from the devil himself, which never happens. The same with 9/11; they'll never see the big boys in the US saying, yes we did it. That will never happen, although all the evidence points to it. So it's tough luck for those who can't use their own heads and put two and two together for themselves.

We live in a tiered society and they said long ago that all those who deserve to go on into the future had achieved a high status, held on to wealth - achieved it and held on to it - and it hadn't been squandered by inferior progeny - meaning they

were the better breeders – and really mated up for marriage from families who'd also succeeded. That's all Royalties and upper aristocracies, etc, of all the countries. This was stated quite openly in eugenical societies' books at the time and their magazines as well. Which meant that all the ones down below could only keep on churning out factory types and IQs, and they wouldn't need them in the future, where they had already planned to give China factories as far back as the 1930s, in the Council on Foreign Relations' own writings. We're living through a script, as I say, and nothing happens on any scale today, including the wars, that wasn't written long ago and planned long ago.

When the internet came out and they gave special rights, exclusive rights to secrecy, to protect all VIPs – I read an article at the time, years ago, on the air about that. The Royal family and others got these special privileges and all the important people, the ones who do all the dirty stuff, and have meetings where they talk dirty stuff, about killing us all off and all that kind of stuff. They have to have all their stuff guarded, very, very top secretly. You'll never find anybody coming out hacking them, for some strange reason. Here's an article here to give them even more special rights to protect them.

## **Royal Family granted new right of secrecy**

Special exemptions to be written into Freedom of Information Act  
[independent.co.uk](http://independent.co.uk) / By Robert Verkaik, Home Affairs Editor / Saturday, 8 January 2011

The Royal Family is to be granted absolute protection from public scrutiny in a controversial legal reform designed to draw a veil of secrecy over the affairs of the Queen, (*Alan: I wonder what kind of affairs they are talking about? I never thought of her as having affairs. Anyway...*) Prince Charles and Prince William.

The Royal Family is to be granted absolute protection from public scrutiny in a controversial legal reform designed to draw a veil of secrecy over the affairs of the Queen, Prince Charles and Prince William.

Letters, emails and documents relating to the monarch, her heir and the second in line to the throne will no longer be disclosed **even if they are in the public interest.** (*A: There's transparency for you.*)

Sweeping changes to the Freedom of Information Act will reverse advances which had briefly shone a light on the royal finances – including an attempt by the Queen to use a state poverty fund to heat Buckingham Palace (*A: And that's no joke; she wanted to use the taxpayers money, that was meant to go to the poor, the ones that are dying by the thousands right now in Britain because they can't afford the heating. She wanted to use that to heat her palace and claim the poverty act. I read it on the air at the time too.*) – and which had threatened to force the disclosure of the Prince of Wales's prolific correspondence with ministers.

Lobbying and correspondence from junior staff working for the Royal Household and Prince Charles will now be held back from disclosure. Buckingham Palace confirmed that it had consulted with the Coalition Government over the change in the law. The Government buried the plan for "added protection" for the Royal Family in the small print of plans called

"opening up public bodies to public scrutiny". (A: *So I guess they buried it.*)

Maurice Frankel, head of the Campaign for Freedom of Information, said that since the change referred to communications written on behalf of the Queen and Prince Charles it might be possible for "park keepers working in the royal parks" to be spared public scrutiny of their letters written to local authorities. (A: *...too.*)

The decision to push through the changes also raises questions about the sincerity of the Liberal Democrats' (A: *'the sincerity of' politicians... who's kidding who? So I'll forget that one; I won't even read this little part. Since when have politicians ever been sincere? They're paid liars for goodness sake.*) . . .

Ian Davidson, a former member of Parliament's Public Accounts Committee (PAC), told The Independent: "I'm astonished that the Government should find time to seek to cover up royal finances. (A: *Why would he be astonished?*) When I was on the PAC what we wanted was more disclosure not less. (A: *Yeah, sure; until they're in.*)

"Every time we examined royal finances we found extravagance and indulgence as well as abuse of expenses by junior royals. (A: *Well, that's what spoiled little boys do, eh? They blow money, and they have plenty to blow. They've also got investments all over the planet, which again, never go through any taxation books and so on, and so on, and so on. That's the rubbish they feed the public to make us all upset about it, but there is nothing at all new in it whatsoever. The press, you know, have to recycle news or make it up because nothing is new under the sun, nothing at all, to do with these people.*)

Press TV has an article on basically how the Patriot Act is like the Nazi law. I'd also add it's also like the Stasi law and like the Soviet law because they're all the same. Under the Soviet regime it was all under 'counter-revolutionary activity,' which went under terrorism. The Stasi too, were 'terrorists' all the time. So they're all the same. And they're all socialist by the way. It says here...

## **Patriot Act, a Nazi law: Ex-CIA official**

Sun Jan 9, 2011 / presstv.ir

"The Patriot Act was similar to legislation carried out by the Nazis because essentially it was using terrorism in both cases as an excuse to strip civil liberties that were enjoyed in both countries; in the United States and Germany," Phillip Giraldi said in an interview with Press TV. (A: *And he's an ex-CIA official.*)

"Governments have been willing to use fear, such as fear of terrorism, and fear of the enemy, as a way to get the people lined up in support of government policies. Very often these policies are essentially bad for the people because they take away many of their rights," the former CIA officer said. (A: *And that's standard procedure too; they've been doing this for an awful long time.*)

He went on to say that the relationship between the American citizens and the US government has changed for the worse since the introduction of the Patriot Act, adding that Americans had not become any safer by their rights being stripped away. *(A: And that's true. If you have no rights you are not safe. I mean, can't you see that? You know? It's amazing how the wording, a change in a word can just alter your perception to the opposite side just like that. I'll be back with more after this break.)*

Hi folks. We're back, Cutting Through The Matrix, reading an article about the effects of the Patriot Act. It's so amazing too; I remember when it was pushed through by Bush Jr. He said it would be UNPATRIOTIC FOR ANY OF THE REPRESENTATIVES TO ACTUALLY READ IT. I think only 2 or 3 of them even bothered to read it. Everyone else, just like trained little seals - that's all they are you see; they want to get up the ladder - did what they were told and didn't read it, this big massive omnibus bill. That was on the cards long ago because they had to bring in 9/11; they had to make it happen to make this whole new world order really get on a rampage. It says here, they have no rights anymore, or less rights and so on, and we're not any safer. As I say, you can't be safe with no rights.

The US Patriot Act and desecration of the constitution has brought a dictatorship surveillance society of phone tapping, hidden cameras and policy brutality in the United States, Giraldi said.

The act, which was hastily adopted six weeks after the 2001 terrorist attacks, *(A: It was hastily adopted but it was written up years before.)* allows the US government to spy on its citizens without the need for a court order.

In February 2010, the House of Representatives and the Senate approved the extension of the Bush-era bill and sent it to President Obama who thereby signed the legislation into law.

Of course he did because it's a new day, same shit, you know, new boss. It's the same old thing, guys working for the same banks, as you well saw with the bailouts and so on. Nothing changes under the sun. This technique of terrorism, TERRORISM EVERYWHERE, has been used down through the ages to strip rights away from the public and give brutal force, brutal force and rights to those who rule over you. From the Royal family getting special secrecy on all correspondence and all the rest of it, here is Obama with US citizens.

## **Obama Eyeing Internet ID for Americans**

Posted by Declan McCullagh / cbsnews.com

STANFORD, Calif.--President Obama is planning to hand the U.S. Commerce Department authority over a forthcoming cybersecurity effort to create an Internet ID for Americans, *(A: That's all Americans. Well, not for them all; there'll be special ones there too who are exempt.)* a White House official said here today.

It's "the absolute perfect spot in the U.S. government" to centralize efforts toward creating an **"identity ecosystem"** *(A: Oh, I love their terms. They bring little now-familiar words which are still very vague in most vague peoples' minds and they tack it on; the marketers tack it on.)* an **"identity**

**ecosystem**" for the Internet, (A: *Maybe to stop all the pollution...*) White House Cybersecurity Coordinator Howard Schmidt said.

That news, first reported by CNET, effectively pushes the department to the forefront of the issue, beating out other potential candidates, including the National Security Agency and the Department of Homeland Security. The move also is likely to please privacy and civil-liberties groups that have raised concerns in the past over the dual roles of police and intelligence agencies.

The announcement came at an event today at the Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research, where U.S. Commerce Secretary Gary Locke and Schmidt spoke.

The Obama administration is currently drafting what it's calling the National Strategy for Trusted Identities in Cyberspace, which Locke said will be released by the president in the next few months. (An early version was publicly released last summer.)

"We are not talking about a national ID card," Locke said at the Stanford event. "We are not talking about a government-controlled system. (A: *Oh, no, no.*) What we are talking about is *enhancing* online security and privacy, (A: *There's a PR liar, eh? We're ENHANCING it all. Yeah!*) and reducing and perhaps even eliminating the need to memorize a dozen passwords, through creation and use of more trusted digital identities." (A: *Oh, it's to help us all.*)

The Commerce Department will be setting up a national program office to work on this project, Locke said. (A: *It's probably all up and running already.*)

Details about the "trusted identity" project are remarkably scarce. Last year's announcement referenced a possible forthcoming smart card or digital certificate that would prove that online users are who they say they are. These digital IDs would be offered to consumers (A: *...offered, yeah...*) by online vendors for financial transactions.

Schmidt stressed today that anonymity and pseudonymity will remain possible on the Internet. (A: *Oh, really? Give us a break.*) "I don't have to get a credential, if I don't want to," he said. There's no chance that "a centralized database will emerge," (A: *Oh, God, this guy is good, eh?*) and "we need the private sector to lead the implementation of this," he said. (A: *That's right. They'll just make it impossible for you NOT to get one, because you can't do anything without it, you see.*)

Jim Dempsey of the Center for Democracy and Technology, who spoke later at the event, said any Internet ID must be created by the private sector--and also voluntary and competitive. (A: *You know, everything comes in by the private sector and is always voluntary. The driving license was voluntary at first you know. And once enough folk were on it they said, well, SINCE 60% ARE NOW TAKING IT WE'RE GOING TO MAKE IT MANDATORY. Car insurance was voluntary in the beginning too; same thing. This is a con on the public, even the way it's worded here. Of course, we know that the big corporations work with*

government. In fact, we're in a fascist, socio-fascist state. Socialism is fascism; it's one and the same thing. Mussolini was called the first real 'fascist,' and yet he was in charge of the Italian National paper for the Socialist Party; he was the editor.)

"The government cannot create that identity infrastructure," Dempsey said. "If it tried to, it wouldn't be trusted." (A: So we'll give you ones that are trusted, eh? [Alan laughing.] )

Inter-agency rivalries to claim authority over cybersecurity have existed ever since many responsibilities were centralized in the Department of Homeland Security as part of its creation nine years ago. Three years ago, proposals were circulating in Washington to transfer authority to the secretive NSA, which is part of the U.S. Defense Department. (A: Well, they already know who everybody is anyway. So, as I say, we are going all the way to national ID Cards. It will be for your internet and everything else too; of course it will. Of course it will. It's all for ENHANCING it all for you. I'll be back with more after this break.)

Hi folks. We're back, Cutting Through The Matrix. I've talked ad nauseam really about this system and how it was set up a long time ago. They were talking about depopulation as far back as Malthus. They did it in the French Revolution; they didn't just kill off the aristocracy. They also had plans to depopulate various parts of rural areas and they did. They would take whole bunches of peasants, who they claimed were surplus, and inferior too by the way. It's amazing how the same system carries on regardless, under different names. They put them in barges and so on and they sunk them, and drowned them, and did other things too to get rid of them. A very old agenda, this, and we are still going through it. Of course it's gained momentum. Those guys who said they would be 'MASTERS OVER THE MASTERS OF THE WORLD,' in the various societies, by accumulating the wealth and then creating foundations - you know, philanthropies that would *help us along* - are in charge of it all. They run the big banks now and they are in fact the big bankers and have been for a few centuries.

A big part of their job was also to destroy ALL forms of existing government to bring in the *rational* government, the one where scientists and the educated elite would rule you in the *proper* way, because, as they say from ancient times, that God left everything imperfect and their job was 'TO PERFECT THAT WHICH WAS LEFT IMPERFECT.' That's no kidding. That's no kidding; that goes way back to the Gnostic times and before. Here they are; we are living through the big change. They have been behind the big wars too, which they had to get along to say, HOW STUPID MAN IS, LOOK AT THAT. Even though they brought them on to make it all happen and then they point at you and say, YOU SILLY PEOPLE, LOOK WHAT HAPPENED; WE HAVE TO DO THINGS ABOUT THAT, SO GIVE UP YOUR SOVEREIGNTY; WE'LL BRING IN A UNITED NATIONS AND RULE YOU THAT WAY. And so on and so on it goes. They blow up towers and things and blame it on whoever they want to, to kick off their big new world order part, and to strip your rights off you too. They were already putting up cameras in Britain and elsewhere before 9/11 happened because they already had it. They knew it was coming along and they want the surveillance society, a society where you can't breathe, buy anything whatsoever, under any kind of transaction, without them knowing about it. They have to know EVERY THING about you. What do you think 'total information society' means? Do you remember Bush said that? It's to be the total



information. 'Total' means complete, total... total, right.

They also had to destroy all existing religions; well, at least the ones that were causing them problems. Christianity had to go, and that's been turned on its head until it's unrecognizable in the last, oh, 100-odd years, especially in America. It's pretty well absent across Europe, except in a few locales. Here's an article that ties in with this and women had to be liberated too from their shackles from the kitchen and all that stuff, where they had a terrible life while the men just walked about in lace pants and stuff like that. In reality they were down mines and in the fields and living anything but a pleasant life. Anyway, they can always distort history for a good agenda and no lie is low enough. So here is the YWCA, the Young Women's Christian Association.

## **YWCA drops the word Christian from its historic name to call itself Platform 51**

By Steve Doughty / dailymail.co.uk / 7th January 2011

One of the country's best-known charities has changed its name, losing the clearest link to its Christian roots.

The Young Women's Christian Association has dropped its historic title after 156 years because 'it no longer stands for who we are'.

Instead the organisation - which is mainly funded by legacies left by Christian supporters (*A: That means cash.*) over 15 decades - will be known as 'Platform 51'. (*A: It sounds like a dance club doesn't it?*)

Shaking off the old image: (*A: It shows you a picture here...*) Young women in 1918 learn how to make pies at the YWCA. (*A: Well that's terrible, making pies for goodness sake; learning to feed people. I've heard women when they were going through the radical feminism say they'd never cook for a man. They'd been told that was demeaning. Now they don't cook for themselves; they want to eat out. They've all had it when the restaurants close. They've had it. They can't even feed themselves.*)

Brand new start: Former Body Shop manager Penny Newman is the new chief executive of Platform 51. (*A: I wonder if they'll give all those Christian people their money back. I don't think so somehow.*)

Bosses say the name was chosen to reflect the fact that 51 per cent of people are female and that they can use the charity as a platform 'to have their say' and 'to move to the next stage of their lives'. (*A: I wonder what the next stage will be. I wonder if it'll be transhumanism or something.*)

The decision to drop all mention of Christianity from the charity's name and purposes drew criticism from religious groups yesterday.

It also appeared to open a rift between the renamed grouping in England and Wales and the worldwide YWCA that grew after the charity was founded by two Englishwomen in 1855.

Officials at the World YWCA headquarters in Geneva said none of the 124

branches in other countries are changing their names. (A: *I will add, yet.*)

Spokesman Sylvie Jacquat said: 'The name has been there for more than 150 years and we are not even discussing a change.

'We see our name as an opportunity for promoting Christian values and principles.' (A: *Well, they've been so diluted, because Christians watch the same TV, generation after generation, until they are watching the same degenerative stuff as everybody else is watching. Like everyone else too, they mimic what they see in their own personal lives. So that's pretty well over. As I say, all religion and all values had to be destroyed. Remember, Marx said it too, ALL EXISTING SOCIETIES AND GOVERNMENTS HAD TO BE UTTERLY DESTROYED, INCLUDING THE RELIGIONS. And the new rationale brought in, rationality... oh, rational, you know, the slaughtering of millions is very RATIONAL. And that's how they saw it at the time.*)

There is much more behind all of this than meets the eye, as we well know. Because you see, sociology and the behaviorists and psychology are all into this, with various zoologists even and all the other -ologists that get in on the act, to see how animals behave, and human animals behave too. And the kind of society that they want is a society where they get rid of all sexual taboos. ALL sexual taboos. You know, George Orwell was at a journalist conference, to commemorate a well-known old philosopher who came up with the right of freedom of speech, for basically authors. He said he couldn't believe it, that ALL THE AUTHORS TALKED ABOUT WAS THE RIGHT TO USE THE 'F' WORD AND TO USE SEXUALITY, TO ITS GROSSEST EXTREME. He couldn't believe that that's what they were talking about. They weren't caring about what they were there for, this supposedly socialist organization, about poor folk or anything. No, they just wanted to have the right to use all the kind of terminology that Hollywood has made very popular today. By the way, they are bringing in new words all the time from Hollywood for the young to emulate.

That's part of the program of destroying it because eventually you will see, they are going to go into intergenerational sex. That's the next step they said at the last big international conference for the censor bureaus. They said that THEY HAD WON THE RIGHTS FOR HOMOSEXUALITY, LESBIANISM AND SO ON TO BE PUSHED ON REGULAR TELEVISION IN SHOWS, SO NOW BESTIALITY WOULD HAVE TO COME NEXT. They also say in the high levels of behaviorism that eventually even the word 'heterosexual' will be meaningless, and even sexuality will be kind of meaningless because in a world where SCIENCE - science, not feminism - liberated women through the pill and abortion, then anything will go, until eventually even deviancy will be obliterated. It will be meaningless, as folk are allowed to go further and further and further ahead, as long.... AS LONG AS THEY DON'T PRODUCE CHILDREN IN THE PROCESS. Julian Huxley said the same thing, the leader of UNESCO, the first leader, who also was a member of the Margaret Sanger Foundation for Planned Parenthood, which meant abortion. Here is...

## **39 Percent Of NYC Pregnancies Result In Abortion**

January 7, 2011 / [newyork.cbslocal.com](http://newyork.cbslocal.com)

New York Catholic Archbishop Timothy Dolan at a press conference in New York on Jan. 6, 2011.

NEW YORK (CBSNewYork/AP) – Archbishop Timothy Dolan is calling for efforts to make abortions in New York City “rare.”

Dolan gathered with other religious leaders on Thursday to draw attention to the city’s high abortion rate. The city health department last month released statistics that showed 39 percent of (A: ...all...) pregnancies ended with induced termination (A: *That’s abortion.*) in 2009.

“I re-affirm Cardinal John O’Connor’s promise of a quarter-century ago that every woman facing a difficult pregnancy will be provided with free, confidential help of the highest quality from the Archdiocese of New York,” said Archbishop Dolan. (A: *So they are going to help pregnant mothers, if they want to. But the thing is today, the female mind has changed so much, you see, that they don’t really want children. In fact, a lot of them don’t like children; they’d just be a hindrance to them. You know, you can’t drag them off to your parties and stuff like that.*)

While the numbers have declined in the last decade, the religious leaders said they are still too high.

In 2009, there were 225,667 pregnancies in the City with 126,774 resulting in live births and 87,273 resulting in abortions. In addition to those abortion numbers, there were 11,620 spontaneous terminations.

Forty-six percent of all births in the Bronx result in abortions—the highest among the five boroughs, according to the report. (A: *You can see how this ties in again with eugenics and getting rid of THE USELESS EATERS as Margaret Sanger called the children, especially of the lower classes. That ties in with Charles Galton Darwin saying the same thing basically, that THE LESSERS WOULD OUTBREED THE BETTERS.*)

In response, the Chiaroscuro Foundation, a non-profit organization (A: *A non profit, charitable foundation... here we go.*) that supports alternatives to abortion, pledged that it will spend \$1 million in 2011 to address the City’s abortion rate—nearly double the national average of 23 percent. (A: *There’s an awful lot of nightclubs in there and they really go to town, in New York City.*)

“Like it or not, the legality of abortion is a settled question in New York for the time being,” said Greg Pfundstein, executive director of the Chiaroscuro Foundation. “That doesn’t mean we have to accept the fact that in parts of the city nearly half of all pregnancies end in abortion.” (A: *It’s amazing too, that the Bill Gates Foundation, in with Oprah Winfrey and all these characters, are funding our money – getting matched with your tax dollars too by the way – to go over to Africa to sterilize women there and to make sure they don’t have children.*)

Dolan said the practice is unlikely to end but that it was important ‘to tell people what is happening’ and help pregnant women come to terms with their choices. (A: *As I say, Christians today... I think if Jesus came back today he wouldn’t recognize what they even are. Really, the way they dress, they behave the same way as everyone else behaves. They all watch the same*

television. They all go through the same incredible system that no one can escape because the media is all around you pushing and pushing, the magazines are everywhere, even the cartoons for youngsters, and so on and so on.)

As I say, the next step, eventually all kinds of deviancy is to disappear. That's already coming out now where Americans and other ones are going off to Norway because you can have sex with animals there, if you book in ahead in advance; you can get your favorite donkey or whatever. Their whole justification of this is, PEOPLE WANT THIS. People want this apparently. Everything is normal today, so people want this. So it's A SERVICE THAT'S BEING SUPPLIED, in Norway; I've read this on the air before, the actual articles. And that eventually you can't call anything deviancy at all, it will be a meaningless thing, as we go further into the cyber world and they get completely confused between different worlds and realities, which has happened to a lot of people already I think. I mean, they're already pretending they're cartoon figures in cyberspace. Who wants to be a cartoon for God's sake, you know!

I'll put this link up and all these links up tonight. This is from Vogue Magazine. I've always said this, that the biggies are all working together to push the agenda forward. They all work together on queue. There is NOTHING out there... there is nothing out there that is not part of this big system, in mainstream. ALL your mainstream media, all your magazines, all the things that influence your mind, influence your behavior... you know, 'EXPERTS SAY'.... 'EXPERTS SAY'... ya-da ya.

## **Disturbing Sex Kitten French Vogue Photoshoot Featuring Children**

vigilantcitizen.com / By Vigilant | December 18th, 2010

This issue of French Vogue features a truly disturbing photo shoot featuring young girls (around 6 years old) in heavy make-up, high heels and designer clothes. Some pictures actually "sexualize" these girls by placing them in strange settings. (*A: They're all in the postures of course.*)

Notice the presence of feline prints in many photos - a reference Sex Kitten programming (aka Beta Programming). Lost of innocence, premature sexualization, awkward settings, ritual abuse, blank stares...the pics truly reek of mind controlled children.

Because you see, as long as the child can consent, apparently, according to the big pervs at the top, then it's okay. That was said in court in Britain with a judge not too long ago; I read it, again, on the air. He said IT WAS A VICTIMLESS CRIME BECAUSE THE CHILD, WHO WAS PRECOCIOUS AND ADVANCED FOR HER YEARS, AGREED TO HAVE SEX. Now, what person at 10 years old knows what they are truly doing or the repercussions down the road? Just because they've watched oozles and oozles of porno stuff on television, and probably with her mummy sitting watching it along with her, because everyone has been contaminated in turn. Every generation until, WELL, I WATCHED THIS SO THIS ISN'T BAD, WITH MY DAUGHTER, until you're watching the full thing and then your little daughter is trying to emulate YOU. You see. What do you think the Spice Girls and all that was about too? You saw the age group they were targeting. What do you think music television is all about? I'll tell you, anyone wanting a child today and it happens to be a female, better make sure she's an

awfully good dancer because that's going to be about the only work she'll get in the future. I'm not kidding you.

How to destroy a society. How to destroy a society... where they'll have lots and lots of sex but never bond, exactly what Julian Huxley said they would HAVE to make happen. He even went into the fact that deviancies of all kinds would arise because there were no consequences. And that laws would have to change. And that anything would go, as long as they did not have offspring. So this is the world that's been produced. That's the world we're into now. And it's got further to go obviously, but not very far at all. You will see this eventually in a barrage of things happening in the paper, with elder so-and-so is in love with a 15-year-old, then 14, then 13. They've already had some in Britain apparently. This is how it's going to *normalize* it. You can normalize anything.

I've talked too about communitarianism. It's well underway in Britain. I know the way it's going to go and what's supposed to happen, as they split up you into a little community; that's your area. I said years ago, eventually, when you have your little community projects you'll have to turn up, or they'll be coming to your door to ask why not. Because, ARE YOU ANTI-SOCIAL? True socialism, you see; you must attend all the meetings. Eventually, as I've said, your purpose will be to SERVE THE WORLD STATE. You'll get your food for it, maybe a place to stay. I'll talk about this when I come back from this break.

Hi folks. We're back, and in the last leg of Cutting Through The Matrix. As I say, communitarianism - and the Council on Foreign Relations/Royal Institute of International Affairs have said, 100 years ago, that they would bring in a world where you'd SERVE THE WORLD STATE. Your privilege would be to *serve* the world state and you'd get a little dole out of food according to your... every person according to their means, according to Karl Marx and so on. This is what's coming up eventually. This is from the Telegraph. Again, all media is part of the big complex of government. They are the essential arms of government to make sure that the lies dreamed up in government by marketers are put out properly to the people down below. It says...

### **Happiness is having a job, and the salary doesn't matter**

*(A: This is in a country where they are thousands of folk, right NOW, right now, dying because they can't afford heat! And they're losing their homes, other people too, young folk as well.)*

Having a job is more important to people than how much they are paid, according to the first official inquiry into what makes Britons happy.

*(A: Isn't that nice? What an altruistic bunch. They must be living in perpetual sunshine and warmth... probably just picking fruit off the trees or something.)*

telegraph.co.uk / By Tim Ross, Social Affairs Editor 10 Jan 2011

Anastasia de Waal said employment was central to people's sense of identity and wellbeing.

The Office for National Statistics (ONS) analysed responses from more than 2,000 people to David Cameron's initiative to measure the nation's wellbeing.

The emerging results showed that job security, personal health and

relationships with family members were at the top of most people's concerns, and were cited by nine out of 10 of those responding to the ONS consultation.

Other key ingredients of a happy life were parents' sense of the security of their children's future, (A: *Well, this is made-up nonsense, this one, because no one is certain of their own future never mind their children.*) with couples keen that their children have a good life and a nice place to live; the freedom of society (A: *As they all get watched for everything they do, even going to the toilet.*); and spiritual and religious beliefs. (A: *Which of course are out the door, unless it's Wicca.*)

Respondents were more likely to rate having a job as important to them than being paid a high salary. (A: *Who are they kidding? Have you seen the prices of living, just living at the bottom level in Britain? And they've just put up their Value Added Tax to 20%. And the guy in charge of it, who put it up to 20%, was caught at the Savoy having a £2,000 meal right after it.*)

Anastasia de Waal, a social policy analyst at the think tank Civitas, said employment was central to people's sense of identity and wellbeing.

"A job is about your life, it is not about your income," she said. (A: *It's about your life... well, you have no life if you can't eat, you fool! Aargh!*)

"It is about every aspect - having the motivation to get up in the morning, self-esteem and being a role model to your children. Income is almost secondary to that. (A: *If you can't feed that child... you tell me that lady!*)

"People's lives fall apart if they don't have a job. They are much more likely to be depressed if they are out of work, and there is a strong relationship between unemployment and family breakdown and health difficulties." (A: *I'm glad she's got that straight.*)

The Prime Minister launched the programme in November, in an attempt to create a broader measure of Britain's success than the performance of the economy.

In other words, you'll be poor, but if you've got a job you're stinking happy. Stinking happy you'll be, just over the moon, pleasant as can be. Isn't that just wonderful? Doesn't that make you feel better now? It tells you, you see, that you are going down the tubes. They are going to do nothing to help it better. They'll make you feel better, maybe you'll get a few pills and stuff, so you get a perpetual smile as you starve to death... because they don't plan to bail anybody out anymore... the same guys that spent all your cash on, well, themselves.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

## **Topics of show covered in following links:**

[Royals Granted Right of Secrecy](#)

[Ex CIA Official--Patriot Act is a Nazi Law](#)

[Internet ID for All Americans](#)

[YWCA drops "Christian" and Morphs into Platform 51](#)

[NYC--39% of Pregnancies end in Abortion](#)

[Vogue Magazine and Child Sexualization--Pushing the Envelope toward Intergenerational Sex](#)

[Salary Does not Matter-- Volunteerism is Good](#)

---

### **Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:**

- [BOOKS](#)** "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."  
Volumes 1, 2, 3      Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:  
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))
- [CDs](#)** Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:      &      Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)  
Part 1 (1998) and  
Part 2 (1998-2000)
- [DVDs](#)** "Reality Check Part 1"      &      "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"